

(For this lecture we continue
to assume A.C.)

Singular, regular & inaccessible cardinals

An increasing sequence of ordinals of length δ (an ordinal)
is a family $(\alpha_\beta)_{\beta < \delta}$ of ordinals satisfying $\beta < \beta' < \delta \Rightarrow \alpha_\beta < \alpha_{\beta'}$

If $(\alpha_\beta)_{\beta < \delta}$ is an increasing sequence of ordinals of length δ
where δ is a limit ordinal, then we define its limit by

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \delta} \alpha_\beta := \sup_{\beta < \delta} \alpha_\beta$$

N.B. the limit is itself a limit ordinal.

The cofinality of a limit ordinal α
(notation $\text{cf}(\alpha)$) is the smallest limit ordinal δ
for which α is the limit of an increasing
sequence of ordinals of length δ

Cofinality examples (from last week)

$$\text{cf}(\aleph_0) = \text{cf}(\omega) = \omega = \aleph_0$$

Regular

$$\omega = \lim 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$$

$$\text{cf}(\omega^2) = \omega = \aleph_0$$

$$\omega^2 = \lim \omega, \omega \cdot 2, \omega \cdot 3, \omega \cdot 4, \dots$$

The cofinality of any countable limit ordinal is $\omega = \aleph_0$

$$\text{cf}(\aleph_1) = \text{cf}(\omega_1) = \omega_1 = \aleph_1$$

Regular

$\omega_1 = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \omega_1} \alpha$ but ω_1 is not a limit of any countable sequence of countable ordinals.

$$\text{cf}(\aleph_2) = \text{cf}(\omega_2) = \omega_2 = \aleph_2$$

Regular

...

$$\text{cf}(\aleph_\omega) = \text{cf}(\omega_\omega) = \omega = \aleph_0$$

Singular

$$\omega_\omega = \lim \omega, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots$$

$$\text{cf}(\aleph_{\omega+1}) = \aleph_{\omega+1}$$

regular

$$\text{cf}(\aleph_{\omega+2}) = \aleph_{\omega+2}$$

regular

...

$$\text{cf}(\aleph_{\omega \cdot 2}) = \aleph_0$$

Singular

↑ exercise

Lemma For any limit ordinal α

1. $\text{cf}(\alpha) \leq \alpha$
2. If α is not a cardinal (initial ordinal)
then $\text{cf}(\alpha) < \alpha$
3. $\text{cf}(\text{cf}(\alpha)) = \text{cf}(\alpha)$.

Proof. Exercise

Proposition. If α is an infinite cardinal
then $\text{cf}(\alpha)$ is also an infinite cardinal.

Proof Immediate from lemma. $\square$

An infinite cardinality κ is regular
if $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \kappa$

κ is singular if $\text{cf}(\kappa) < \kappa$.

An infinite cardinality $\aleph_\alpha$ is said
to be a:

limit cardinality if α is a limit ordinal

successor cardinality if α is a successor ordinal

Question A: Is every successor cardinality
regular?

Question B: Is every uncountable limit
cardinality singular?

We shall prove that the answer to question A is yes.

(AC is crucial for this to be the case.)

Recall from last week

Lemma A Every infinite cardinal κ can be expressed as

$$\kappa = \sum_{\alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)} \kappa_\alpha \quad \text{where } \kappa_\alpha < \kappa \text{ for every } \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)$$

Lemma B A cardinal κ is singular iff we can express it as

$$\text{as } \kappa = \sum_{i \in I} \kappa_i \quad \text{where } |I| < \kappa \text{ and } \kappa_i < \kappa \text{ for every } i \in I.$$

Proof $\Rightarrow$ Immediate from Lemma A because $\text{cf}(\kappa) < \kappa$.

$\Leftarrow$ Suppose $\kappa = \sum_{i \in I} \kappa_i$ as in statement of lemma.

$$\text{Then } \kappa = |I| \cdot \sup_{i \in I} \kappa_i = \max(|I|, \sup_{i \in I} \kappa_i)$$

$$\text{Since } |I| < \kappa, \text{ this means } \kappa = \sup_{i \in I} \kappa_i$$

By transitively ordering the κ_i elements without repetition

we obtain $\kappa = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} \kappa_\alpha$ for some λ with $\lambda \leq |I| < \kappa$.

Hence $\text{cf}(\kappa) \leq \lambda < \kappa$. Hence κ is singular. $\square$

We can now answer Question A positively.

Theorem Every successor cardinal is regular.

Proof Suppose for contradiction that $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$ is singular.

By Lemma B, $\aleph_{\alpha+1} = \sum_{i \in I} \kappa_i$ where $|I|, \kappa_i < \aleph_{\alpha+1}$

So $|I|, \kappa_i \leq \aleph_\alpha$ for all $i \in I$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\aleph_{\alpha+1} &= \sum_{i \in I} \kappa_i \leq \sum_{i \in I} \aleph_\alpha = |I| \cdot \aleph_\alpha \\ &\leq \aleph_\alpha \cdot \aleph_\alpha = \aleph_\alpha\end{aligned}$$

That is $\aleph_{\alpha+1} \leq \aleph_\alpha$, which is absurd. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

□

Question B cannot be answered from the axioms we have (assuming the axioms are consistent).

A cardinal κ is said to be weakly inaccessible if it is an uncountable regular limit cardinal.

From the axioms we have, we cannot show that a weakly inaccessible cardinal exists.

We could add as a further axiom

(WIC) A weakly inaccessible cardinal exists

This is a modest example of a large cardinal axiom.

Singular, regular & inaccessible cardinals (continued)

Suppose we have a weakly inaccessible cardinal $\aleph_\lambda$

Of course $\aleph_\lambda = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} \aleph_\alpha$ as λ is a limit ordinal

Because $\aleph_\lambda$ is regular $\lambda \geq \text{cf}(\aleph_\lambda) = \aleph_\lambda$

But trivially $\lambda \leq \aleph_\lambda$

So:

$$\lambda = \aleph_\lambda$$

Note that limit cardinals are exactly the cardinals $\aleph_\alpha$ that satisfy:

$$\text{if } \aleph_\beta < \aleph_\alpha \text{ then } \aleph_{\beta+1} < \aleph_\alpha$$

Def. A strong limit cardinal is a cardinal κ satisfying:

$$\text{if } \kappa' < \kappa \text{ then } 2^{\kappa'} < \kappa$$

Proposition

1. Every strong limit cardinal is a limit cardinal.
2. (GCH) Every limit cardinal is a strong limit cardinal.

If we do not assume the generalised continuum hypothesis.

Consider

$$\aleph_\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \aleph_0, \aleph_1, \aleph_2, \aleph_3 \dots \aleph_n \dots$$

This is clearly a limit cardinal

We cannot prove that it is a strong limit cardinal

Nonetheless we can demonstrate examples of strong limit cardinals.

Define $\beth_0 := \aleph_0$ "Beth₀"

$$\beth_{n+1} := 2^{\beth_n}$$

a strong
limit
cardinal

$$\rightarrow \beth_\omega := \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \beth_n = \lim \beth_0, \beth_1, \beth_2, \dots$$

A strongly inaccessible cardinal is an uncountable regular strong limit cardinal.

Proposition

1. Every strongly inaccessible cardinal is weakly inaccessible.
2. (GCH) Every weakly inaccessible cardinal is strongly inaccessible.

We formulate (but do not assume) an axiom stating that strongly inaccessible cardinals exist

(SIC) There exists a strongly inaccessible cardinal

We know that (SIC) is not provable (if set theory is consistent)

The notion of a Grothendieck universe

This is used in various areas of mathematics (algebraic geometry, category theory, ...) in which one distinguishes between "small" and "large" sets. The idea is that all "small" sets can be collected inside a single "large" set — the Groth. universe.

A Grothendieck universe is a set U satisfying:

- It is transitive: for every set $X \in U$ and element $x \in X$ we have $x \in U$
- If $x, y \in U$ then $\{x, y\} \in U$
- For every set $X \in U$, we have $\mathcal{P}X \in U$.
- For every set $I \in U$ and family $(X_i)_{i \in I}$, where every X_i is a set with $X_i \in U$, we have $\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} X_i\right) \in U$.

Given a Grothendieck universe U , we call a set X small if $X \in U$.

Because U is a set, we have a set of all small sets:

$$S := \{ X \in U \mid X \text{ is a set} \}$$

One can prove that S (and U) are not small sets.

In lecture 1 we axiomatised properties of the class of sets in the universe (class) U .

The axioms hold also for the (large) set S of small sets within the (large) Grothendieck universe U .

Formally, U can be shown to be a model of our axioms in the sense of mathematical logic.

Theorem The following are equivalent.

- 1- A Grothendieck universe exists.
- 2- A strongly inaccessible cardinal exists.

Proof

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose U is a Groth. universe

Define

$$\kappa := \{\alpha \in U \mid \alpha \text{ is a von-Neumann ordinal}\}$$

One can prove that κ is itself a von-Neumann ordinal
(but not small) that is a strongly inaccessible cardinal.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose κ is a strongly inaccessible cardinal.

Then the set V_κ is a Grothendieck universe.

 see below

□

The cumulative hierarchy $\underline{V}$

By transfinite recursion we define sets $(V_\alpha)_{\alpha < \text{ord}}$

$$V_0 := \emptyset$$

$$V_{\alpha+1} := \mathcal{P} V_\alpha$$

$$V_\lambda := \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} V_\alpha$$

Define $\underline{V} := \bigcup_{\alpha} V_\alpha$

$\underline{V}$ is the ^{proper} class of all sets that are:

- hereditary sets

(every element is a set and
also a hereditary set)

- well-founded

(the $\in$ relation is well-founded)

Brief glimpses at $(V_\alpha)_\alpha$

$$\alpha \leq \beta \Rightarrow V_\alpha \subseteq V_\beta$$

V_n has cardinality $\left. \begin{matrix} 2^{2^2 \dots 2^0} \end{matrix} \right\} n \text{ 2's}$

V_ω is the set of hereditarily finite well-founded sets

For any α , $\alpha \in V_{\alpha+1}$

In particular: $\omega \in V_{\omega+1}$

the von Neumann
natural numbers

If $X \in V_\alpha$ then $\mathcal{P}X \in V_{\alpha+1}$

In particular $\mathcal{P}(\omega) \in V_{\omega+2}$

isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$