

Cardinal Arithmetic

$$|x| = |y| \quad |x| \leq |y| \quad |x| < |y| \quad |x| \ll |y|$$

- If X is infinite $|N| \leq |X|$
 - $|X| \ll |P X|$ (Last week)
-

The powerclass operation

$$\underline{X} \mapsto P \underline{X}$$

induces a corresponding operation on class functions. Given

$$F : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$$

we define

$$P F : P \underline{X} \rightarrow P \underline{Y}$$

by

$$(P F)(z) := F[z] \quad (z \subseteq \underline{X})$$

Proposition The operation $F \mapsto P F$ is functorial; i.e.,

- For any $\underline{X}$, we have $P(\text{id}_{\underline{X}}) = \text{id}_{(P \underline{X})}$
- For $F : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ and $G : \underline{Y} \rightarrow \underline{Z}$, we have

$$P(G \circ F) = (P G) \circ (P F) : P \underline{X} \rightarrow P \underline{Z}$$

Proposition The operation $X \mapsto \mathcal{P}X$ preserves cardinalities; i.e.,

$$|X| = |Y| \Rightarrow |\mathcal{P}X| = |\mathcal{P}Y| \quad (1)$$

It is also monotone

$$|X| \leq |Y| \Rightarrow |\mathcal{P}X| \leq |\mathcal{P}Y| \quad (2)$$

Proof

(1) follows from functoriality because:

If $|X| = |Y|$ then we have $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $f^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$

s.t. $f^{-1} \circ f = \text{id}_X$ and $f \circ f^{-1} = \text{id}_Y$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}(f^{-1}) \circ (\mathcal{P}f) = \mathcal{P}(f^{-1} \circ f) = \mathcal{P}(\text{id}_X) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}X}$$

and

$$(\mathcal{P}f) \circ \mathcal{P}(f^{-1}) = \dots = \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}Y}$$

So indeed $|\mathcal{P}X| = |\mathcal{P}Y|$.

② We use functoriality to establish:

$$1 \leq |X| \leq |Y| \Rightarrow |\wp X| \leq |\wp Y|$$

②'

Lemma Suppose X is nonempty. Then $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an injection
iff it has a left inverse; i.e. there exists $g: Y \rightarrow X$
s.t. $g \circ f = \text{id}_X$

Proof $\Leftarrow$ Exercise.

$\Rightarrow$ Suppose X is nonempty and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective.

Define $g: Y \rightarrow X$ by:

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} \text{the unique } x \in X \text{ s.t. } f(x) = y & \text{if } y \in \text{image}(f) \\ x_0 & \text{if } y \notin \text{image}(f) \end{cases}$$

where x_0 is an arbitrary element of X .

It is immediate that $g \circ f = \text{id}_X$.

□

To prove ②'.

Suppose $1 \leq |X| \leq |Y|$

So there is some injection $f: X \rightarrow Y$

By the lemma there exists $g: Y \rightarrow X$ with $g \circ f = \text{id}_X$. Then

$$(\rho_g) \circ (\rho_f) = \rho(g \circ f) = \rho(\text{id}_X) = \text{id}_{\rho X}$$

So $\rho_f: \rho X \rightarrow \rho Y$ has a left inverse. (clearly ρX is nonempty).

By the lemma ρ_f is an injection. So $|\rho X| \leq |\rho Y|$.

This proves ②'.

For ② we just need to consider the ^{additional} case $X = \emptyset$. Then

$$\rho X = \rho \emptyset = \{\emptyset\} \subseteq \rho Y$$

The inclusion function $\rho X \subseteq \rho Y$ is trivially injective.

So indeed $|X| \leq |Y|$. I.e. ② holds.

□

In lecture 1 we defined

$\underline{X} \times \underline{Y}$ product

$\underline{Y}^{\underline{X}}$ exponential

We now define

$\underline{X} + \underline{Y}$ sum

by:

$$\underline{X} + \underline{Y} := \{(0, x) \mid x \in \underline{X}\} \cup \{(1, y) \mid y \in \underline{Y}\}$$

where $0 = \emptyset$ and $1 = \{\emptyset\}$ (e.g.)

Proposition If X, Y are sets then so are $X+Y$, $X \times Y$, Y^X

Given $f: X \rightarrow X'$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Y'$, define

$$f+g : X+Y \rightarrow X'+Y'$$

$$z \mapsto \begin{cases} (0, f(x)) & \text{if } z = (0, x) \\ (1, g(y)) & \text{if } z = (1, y) \end{cases}$$

$$f \times g : X \times Y \rightarrow X' \times Y'$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto (f(x), g(y))$$

$$g^f : Y^{(X')} \rightarrow (Y')^X$$

$$h \mapsto (x \mapsto g(h(f(x))))$$

$$(h \mapsto g \circ h \circ f)$$

Note that g^f reverses the direction of f .

Proposition The operations above are functorial;
i.e. they preserve identity and composition.

(N.B. the operation g^f is contravariant in f .
All other cases are covariant.)

Proposition If $|x| = |x'|$ and $|y| = |y'|$ then:

- $|x + y| = |x' + y'|$
- $|x \times y| = |x' \times y'|$
- $|y^x| = |(y')^{(x')}|$

If $|x| \leq |x'|$ and $|y| \leq |y'|$ then:

- $|x + y| \leq |x' + y'|$
- $|x \times y| \leq |x' \times y'|$
- $|y^x| \leq |(y')^{(x')}|$ except in the case that
 $x = y' = \emptyset \neq x'$

Cardinal Arithmetic (Part 2)

Notation

$$|x| + |y| \quad \text{means} \quad |x + y|$$

$$|x| \cdot |y| \quad \text{means} \quad |x \times y|$$

$$|y|^{|x|} \quad \text{means} \quad |y^x|$$

$$0 \quad \text{means} \quad |\emptyset|$$

$$1 \quad \text{means} \quad |\{\emptyset\}|$$

$$2 \quad \text{means} \quad |\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}|$$

$$\aleph_0 \quad \text{means} \quad |\mathbb{N}|$$

Arithmetical laws for cardinalities

$$\bullet |X| + 0 = |X| \quad \begin{matrix} (|X| + |0| \\ |X + \emptyset| = |X|) \end{matrix}$$

$$\bullet |X| + |Y| = |Y| + |X|$$

$$\bullet |X| + (|Y| + |Z|) = (|X| + |Y|) + |Z|$$

$$\bullet |X| \cdot 1 = |X|$$

$$\bullet |X| \cdot |Y| = |Y| \cdot |X|$$

$$\bullet |X| \cdot (|Y| \cdot |Z|) = (|X| \cdot |Y|) \cdot |Z|$$

$$\bullet |X| \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$\bullet |X| \cdot (|Y| + |Z|) = |X| \cdot |Y| + |X| \cdot |Z|$$

$$\bullet |x|^0 = 1$$

$$\bullet |x|^{1y+1z} = (|x|^{1y}) \cdot (|x|^{1z})$$

—

$$\bullet 1^{1x} = 1$$

$$\bullet (1y \cdot 1z)^{1x} = (1y^{1x}) \cdot (1z^{1x})$$

—

$$\bullet |x|^1 = |x|$$

$$\bullet |x|^{1y \cdot 1z} = (|x|^{1y})^{1z} \quad (\otimes)$$

Example proof of $\otimes$

we need to give a bijection from $X^{y \cdot z}$ to $(x^y)^z$

Such a bijection is $\Lambda : X^{y \cdot z} \rightarrow (x^y)^z$ defined by:

$$\Lambda(g) := (z \mapsto (y \mapsto g(y, z)))$$

$\uparrow$
 $X^{y \cdot z}$

$\uparrow$
 Z

$\uparrow$
 Y

Exercise define $\Lambda^{-1} : (x^y)^z \rightarrow X^{y \cdot z}$

and show it is an inverse to Λ

$$\text{Therefore } |X^{y \cdot z}| = |(x^y)^z|, \text{ i.e. } |x|^{1y \cdot 1z} = (|x|^{1y})^{1z}$$

(cf. "Currying" in computer science.)

The above algebraic laws say that cardinalities form an exponential semiring

Proposition $|\mathcal{P}X| = 2^{|X|}$

i.e. $|\mathcal{P}X| = |\{0, \{0\}\}^X|$

Proof Exercise. $\square$

The above laws are familiar from ^{ordinary} arithmetic

A major way in which cardinal arithmetic differs from ordinary arithmetic is that cancellation laws fail
e.g., in ordinary arithmetic we have:

$$x + z = y + z \Rightarrow x = y$$

$$x \cdot z = y \cdot z \Rightarrow x = y \text{ provided that } z \neq 0$$

For example

$$0 + |N| = |N| = |N| + |N| \quad \text{but} \quad 0 \neq |N|$$

$$1 \cdot |N| = |N| = |N| \cdot |N| \quad \text{but} \quad 1 \neq |N|$$

This relies on two important equalities

$$|N| = |N| + |N| \quad N_0 = N_0 + N_0$$

$$|N| = |N| \cdot |N| \quad N_0 = N_0 \cdot N_0$$

We say that $|X|$ is its own square if

$$|X| = |X| \cdot |X|$$

Lemma If $|X| \geq 2$ and $|X|$ is its own square then

- X is infinite, and
- $|X| = |X| + |X|$.

Proof Easily $|x| \leq |x| + |x|$

For the converse

$$|x| + |x| = 2 \cdot |x| \leq |x| \cdot |x| = |x|$$

By Schröder-Bernstein $|x| = |x| + |x|$

Let $f: X \times X \rightarrow X$ be some bijection

The $f[\{0, x\} \mid x \in X]$ is a proper subset of X

that is in bijection with X . Hence X is infinite. $\square$

Proposition If $2 \leq |X|$ and $|X|$ is its own square then $2^{|X|}$ is also its own square.

Proof $2^{|X|} \cdot 2^{|X|} = 2^{|X| + |X|} = 2^{|X|}$. $\square$

Theorem If $|X|$ is its own square and $Y \subseteq X$ is such that $|Y| < |X|$ then $|X - Y| = |X|$.

Proof Consider the composite function

$$Y \xrightarrow{\subseteq} X \xrightarrow{f} X \times X \xrightarrow{(x_1, x_2) \mapsto x_1} X$$

where $f: X \rightarrow X \times X$ is a bijection.

Because $|Y| < |X|$ the above function is not a surjection.

So there exists $x_0 \in X$ not in the image

i.e., for every $y \in Y$, we have $f(y) = (x_1, x_2)$ where $x_1 \neq x_0$

We therefore have a function

$$X \xrightarrow{x \mapsto (x_0, x)} X \times X - f[Y]$$

which is obviously injective. f is a bijection

$$\text{Hence } |X| \leq |X \times X - f[Y]| \overset{f \text{ is a bijection}}{=} |X - Y|$$

Trivially $|X - Y| \leq |X|$. So indeed $|X| = |X - Y|$ by S-B. $\square$