

Lecture 6: Well-orders

Functions between partially ordered sets (recap)

Suppose X, Y are partially ordered sets

and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a function

- We say f is monotone (increasing, order preserving) if $x \leq x' \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(x')$
- We say f is strictly monotone (strictly increasing, etc.) if $x < x' \Rightarrow f(x) < f(x')$.
- If f is strictly monotone then it is monotone.
- If f is monotone and injective then it is strictly monotone.
- If X is a linear order then f is strictly monotone iff it is an order embedding:
- f is an (order) embedding if $x \leq x' \Leftrightarrow f(x) \leq f(x')$.
- (• Every embedding is injective)
- f is an ^(order) isomorphism ^{it is monotone and} if there exists a (necessarily unique) monotone $f^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f^{-1} \circ f = \text{id}_X$ and $f \circ f^{-1} = \text{id}_Y$.
- (• Every isomorphism is an embedding.)
- (order)
- An automorphism is an isomorphism from a partially ordered set to itself

Prologue : The least ordinal property

Principle of transfinite induction (uniform version) :

If $\underline{X} \subseteq \underline{\text{Ord}}$ satisfies :

$\forall \alpha \in \underline{\text{Ord}}$ if $(\forall \beta < \alpha \ \beta \in \underline{X})$ then $\alpha \in \underline{X}$

Then $\underline{X} = \underline{\text{Ord}}$.

Proposition (The least ordinal property)

If $\underline{X} \subseteq \underline{\text{Ord}}$ and $\underline{X}$ is nonempty then

$\underline{X}$ has a smallest element (that is there exists $\alpha \in \underline{X}$ s.t. $\forall \beta \in \underline{X} \ \alpha \leq \beta$).

Proof Suppose $\underline{X} \subseteq \underline{\text{Ord}}$ and $\underline{X}$ does not have a least element. Then we show that $\underline{X} = \emptyset$.

Define $\underline{Y} = \underline{\text{Ord}} - \underline{X}$

We use T.I to show that $\underline{Y} = \underline{\text{Ord}}$ hence $\underline{X} = \emptyset$.

Suppose $\alpha \in \underline{\text{Ord}}$ is such that $\forall \beta < \alpha \ \beta \in \underline{Y}$.

If $\beta \notin \underline{Y}$ then β would be the smallest element of $\underline{X}$.
But $\underline{X}$ has no least element.

So $\beta \in \underline{Y}$.

By T.I. $\underline{Y} = \underline{\text{Ord}}$. Hence $\underline{X} = \emptyset$. $\square$

Proposition Consider the following 3 statements regarding a relation $R \subseteq X \times X$ (where, for convenience, X is a set)

1. For every subset $Z \subseteq X$ if $\text{if } (y, x) \in R$
• for all $x \in X$ if (for all $y \in X$, yRx implies $y \in Z$) then $x \in Z$ $\otimes$
then $Z = X$.

2. For every nonempty subset $Z \subseteq X$, there exists an R -minimal element $z \in Z$ (i.e., $\forall z' \in Z$ we do not have $z'Rz$).

3. There is no R -decreasing infinite sequence in X

(An R -decreasing sequence is $x_{(-)} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ satisfying for all n , $x_{n+1} R x_n$.)

Then $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$

(Furthermore $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ if we assume the axiom of dependent choice !) $\leftarrow$ Leave to a future lecture

Proof $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ similar to the proof above about ordinals.

$(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Suppose (2)

Also suppose $Z \subseteq X$ satisfies $\otimes$. We need to prove $Z = X$.

Suppose not. Then $Y := X - Z$ is nonempty.

So Y has an R -minimal element x (by 2).

So for all $y \in X$ we have $yRx \Rightarrow y \notin Y$ i.e. $y \in Z$.

By $\otimes$ $x \in Z$; i.e., $x \notin Y$. A contradiction.

Therefore indeed $Z = X$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Suppose 2.

Suppose that $x_{(-)} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ is an R -decreasing sequence.

Then $\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq X$ has no R -minimal element.

□

Definition A relation $R \subseteq X \times X$ is said to be well-founded if property 1 (equivalently 2) of the proposition above hold.

Corollary of the proposition above

If R is a well-founded relation then it is acyclic, i.e., there is no finite sequence of elements $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ s.t. $x_1 R x_2 \ x_2 R x_3 \ \dots \ x_k R x_1$. In particular R is

- Irreflexive $\forall x \neg x R x$

- Strictly antisymmetric $\forall x, y \ x R y \Rightarrow \neg y R x$

Definition A well-ordered set (well-order) is given by a set X with a total order such that the strict order relation $<$ on X is well-founded.

Examples of well orders

For every ordinal α the set $\downarrow \alpha$ (remember $\downarrow \alpha := \{\beta \in \text{Ord} \mid \beta \leq \alpha\}$) is well-ordered by $<$.

(Proof Suppose $X \subseteq \downarrow \alpha$ is non-empty.

By the least ordinal property X has a least element.

This is then a $<$ -minimal element.

So $<$ on $\downarrow \alpha$ satisfies def 2 of a well-ordering.)

Temporary questions: • Can we find other

examples of well-orders; i.e., ones not isomorphic to any $\downarrow \alpha$?

• Can $\downarrow \alpha$ and $\downarrow \beta$ be isomorphic if $\alpha \neq \beta$?

Two technical lemmas

Lemma A: If f is an embedding from a well-ordered set A to itself then f is inflationary; i.e., for all $x \in A$, $x \leq f(x)$.

Proof Suppose f is not inflationary.

Then the subset $B := \{x \in A \mid f(x) < x\}$ is non-empty.

So B has a least element x_0 . So $f(x_0) < x_0$.

By strict monotonicity $f(f(x_0)) < f(x_0)$.

That is $f(x_0) \in B$. This contradicts x_0 being the least element of B . □

An initial segment of a totally ordered set X is just a down closed subset $I \subseteq X$; i.e. if $x \in I$ and $y \leq x$ then $y \in I$.

It is a proper initial segment if I is a proper subset of X .

Lemma B: (1) If I is a proper initial segment of a well-ordered set A then $I = \downarrow a$ for a unique $a \in A$.

(2) Similarly if a set I is an initial segment of the ordinals then $I = \downarrow \alpha$ for a unique ordinal α .

Proof (1) The set $I^c := A - I$ is nonempty.

Let a be the least element of I^c . Then $I = \downarrow a$. Existence

(2) Similar. □

We answer our temporary questions in the negative.

The classification theorem for well-orders

Every well-ordered set is order isomorphic to $\downarrow \alpha$ for a unique ordinal α .

We postpone the (lengthy) proof

Using the classification theorem, for any well-ordered set A we write $\text{ord}(A)$ for the unique ordinal α s.t. $A \cong \downarrow \alpha$ is isomorphic to

(N.B. • strictly we should write $\text{ord}(A, \leq_A)$)

We call $\text{ord}(A)$ the order type of the well-order.

Theorem The following are equivalent for well-ordered sets A, B .

(1) $A \cong B$

(2) $\text{ord}(A) = \text{ord}(B)$

Theorem T.f.q.e. for well-ordered sets A, B

(1) A embeds in B

(2) A is isomorphic to an initial segment of B

(3) $\text{ord}(A) \leq \text{ord}(B)$

Corollary For well-ordered sets A, B :

(1) If A and B embed in each other, then $A \cong B$

(2) Either A embeds in B or B embeds in A .

Outline proof of second theorem above

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). The embedding from A to B is

$$A \xrightarrow{\cong} I \xrightarrow{\subseteq} B.$$

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Suppose $i: A \rightarrow B$ is an embedding

Then we have an embedding

$$\downarrow \text{Ord}(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{\cong} \downarrow \text{Ord}(B)$$

In other words we have an embedding

$$\downarrow \alpha \xrightarrow{j} \downarrow \beta \quad \text{where } \alpha = \text{Ord}(A) \quad \beta = \text{Ord}(B)$$

We need to show $\alpha \leq \beta$.

Suppose instead $\beta < \alpha$, then $j(\beta) \in \downarrow \beta$ so $j(\beta) < \beta$.

This contradicts Lemma A.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2)

$$A \xrightarrow{\cong} \downarrow \text{Ord}(A) \xrightarrow{\subseteq} \downarrow \text{Ord}(B) \xrightarrow{\cong} B$$

Let I be the image of this composite.

I is an initial segment of B .

And the above map is an isomorphism from A to I .

□

Constructions on well-ordered sets

Suppose $(X, <_x)$ and $(Y, <_y)$ are well-ordered sets

Define:

$$(X, <_x) + (Y, <_y) := (X + Y, <_{x+y}) \quad \text{where:}$$

$$z <_{x+y} w \Leftrightarrow \exists \alpha, \alpha' \quad z = (0, \alpha) \wedge w = (0, \alpha') \wedge \alpha < \alpha'$$

$$\text{or } \exists x, y \quad z = (0, x) \wedge w = (1, y)$$

$$\text{or } \exists y, y' \quad z = (1, y) \wedge w = (1, y') \wedge y < y'$$

$$\left(\text{Idea: } \frac{<}{X} \quad \frac{<}{Y} \right)$$

$$(X, <_x) \times (Y, <_y) := (X \times Y, <_{x,y}) \quad \text{where:}$$

$$(x, y) <_{x,y} (x', y') \Leftrightarrow y < y' \text{ or}$$

$$y = y' \text{ and } x < x'.$$

(reverse lexicographic order)

(Idea: Y -many copies of X)

$$X = \boxed{}$$

$$Y = \langle \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \dots \rangle$$

$$X \times Y =$$

$$\langle \boxed{} \boxed{} \boxed{} \boxed{} \dots \rangle$$

$$(y, <_y)^{(x, <_x)} := (\text{finite}[x, y], <_{y,x}) \text{ where:}$$

$$\text{finite}[x, y] := \{f \in y^x \mid \text{the set } \{x \in X \mid f(x) \text{ is not the least element of } Y\} \text{ is finite}\}$$

$$f <_{y,x} g \Leftrightarrow f \neq g \text{ and } f(x_0) < g(x_0) \text{ where } x_0 \text{ is the maximum element in the set } \{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq g(x)\}$$

(Idea: ?)

Theorem If X, Y are well-ord's then :

(1) $X+Y$ is well-ordered by $<_{X+Y}$ and

$$\text{ord}(X+Y) = \text{ord}(X) + \text{ord}(Y)$$

(2) $X \times Y$ is well-ordered by $<_{X \times Y}$ and

$$\text{ord}(X \times Y) = \text{ord}(X) \cdot \text{ord}(Y)$$

(3) Y^X (i.e. Finite $[X, Y]$) is well-ordered by $<_{Y^X}$ and

$$\text{ord}(Y^X) = \text{ord}(Y)^{\text{ord}(X)}$$

the well-order exponential defined above.

Proposition (Rigidity)

- (1) No well-ordered set is isomorphic to a proper initial segment of itself. (it is rigid)
- (2) Every well-ordered set has exactly one automorphism: the identity.
- (3) If A and B are isomorphic well-ordered sets then the isomorphism between them is unique.

Proof (1) Suppose A is isomorphic to a prop.-initial seg.

By Lemma B we have an iso $f: A \rightarrow \downarrow a$.

Then $f: A \rightarrow A$ is an embedding. Hence by

Lemma A $f(a) \geq a$, which contradicts $f(x) \in \downarrow a$.

(2) Suppose $f: A \rightarrow A$ is an automorphism.

Both f and f^{-1} are embeddings so $\alpha \leq f(\alpha)$ and $\alpha \leq f^{-1}(\alpha)$ for all α , by Lemma A. Since f is monotone

$f(\alpha) \leq f(f^{-1}(\alpha)) = \alpha$. So indeed $f(\alpha) = \alpha$ for all α .

(3) Suppose $f, g: A \rightarrow B$ are isomorphisms.

Then g^{-1} is an isomorphism from $B \rightarrow A$.

So $g^{-1} \circ f: A \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism.

Hence by (2) $g^{-1} \circ f = \text{id}_A$.

It follows that $g = f$. $\square$

We are set up to prove the classification theorem.

Proof of the classification theorem:

Every well-ordered set is isomorphic to $\downarrow \alpha$ for a unique ordinal α

Proof Let A be a well-ordered set.

Suppose $\downarrow \alpha \cong A \cong \downarrow \alpha'$.

If $\alpha' < \alpha$ then $\downarrow \alpha$ is isomorphic to a proper initial segment of itself contradicting (i) of the rigidity proposition.

Similarly $\alpha < \alpha'$ gives a similar contradiction.

So $\alpha = \alpha'$.

So if the α in the statement of the theorem exists then it is unique.

Define the relation $f \subseteq A \times \text{Ord}$ as follows

← in principle f is a subclass.
We will soon see it is a set. So
we henceforth write f .

$$(x, \alpha) \in f \iff \downarrow x \cong \downarrow \alpha$$

We establish

$$(i) \quad (x, \alpha), (x, \alpha') \in f \Rightarrow \alpha = \alpha' \quad (\text{This implies that } f \text{ is a set.})$$

By a similar argument to at the start of the proof.

$$(ii) \quad (x, \alpha), (x', \alpha) \in f \Rightarrow x = x'$$

Again by a similar argument.

By (i) and (ii) f is a bijection from $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq A$
to $\text{image}(f) \subseteq \underline{\text{Ord}}$

(iii) If $(\alpha, \alpha) \in f$ and $\alpha' < \alpha$ then $\alpha' \in \text{dom}(f)$
and $f(\alpha') < \alpha$.

Suppose $\alpha = f(\alpha)$ and $\alpha' < \alpha$

By def. of f we have an isomorphism $g: \downarrow \alpha \rightarrow \downarrow \alpha$

Then $g|_{\downarrow \alpha'}: \downarrow \alpha' \rightarrow \downarrow \alpha$ maps $\downarrow \alpha'$ to an initial
segment of $\downarrow \alpha$, which has the form $\downarrow \alpha'$ for some $\alpha' < \alpha$
by Lemma B.

$g|_{\downarrow \alpha'}: \downarrow \alpha' \rightarrow \downarrow \alpha'$ is an isomorphism

So by definition of f , $f(\alpha') = \alpha' < \alpha$.

(iv) If $(\alpha, \alpha) \in f$ and $\alpha' < \alpha$ then $\alpha' \in \text{image}(f)$.

By a similar argument to (iii).

Given (i)-(iv), the function f is a bijection from
an initial segment of A to an initial segment of $\underline{\text{Ord}}$.

And f is strictly monotone; i.e. an embedding by point (iii).

Hence f is an isomorphism from an initial segment of A
to an initial segment of ord.

We now show that $\text{dom}(f) = A$.

Suppose not. Then $\text{dom}(f)$ is a proper initial segment of A . So $\text{dom}(f) = \downarrow \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in A$, by Lemma B.

Also $\text{range}(f) = \downarrow \beta$ for some $\beta \in \text{Ord}$.

Then $f: \downarrow \alpha \rightarrow \downarrow \beta$ is an isomorphism.

Hence $(\alpha, \beta) \in f$ by def. of f .

So $\alpha \in \text{dom}(f)$ contradicting that $\text{dom}(f) = \downarrow \alpha$.

So indeed $\text{dom}(f) = A$.

$\text{range}(f) = \downarrow \alpha$ for a unique α by Lemma B.

Indeed we have that

$f: A = \text{dom}(f) \rightarrow \text{range}(f) = \downarrow \alpha$ is an isomorphism.

□